




<http://www.storyofstuff.com/>

VS.



<http://www.glennbeck.com/content/articles/article/198/30932/>

Annie Leonard
Environmental Activist
GAIA (Global Anti-Incinerator Alliance);
Health Care Without Harm; The Funders
Workgroup for Sustainable Production and
Consumption ; Greenpeace International;
Essential Action; Multinational Monitor
magazine; Global Greengrants Fund;

VS.

Lee Doren
Attorney, Activist & Political
Commentator
Bureaucrash (libertarian activist
project of The Competitive
Enterprise Institute)

CEI: “humans are best helped not by government
regulation of commercial interests, but by humans
being allowed to make their own choices in a free
marketplace.”

“The film really opened my eyes”

“I am disgusted you would show this video. She has no idea what she is talking about She does not look at the big picture Global warming is a scam”

“put the waste situation in a whole new perspective”

“I didn’t believe in global warming before and this video swayed me in no way. It was a joke.”

“In my opinion this video points out the main problem with our country. Its not about reducing or improving the quality of products its about crappy products that make us by [sic] more. . . .”

“I thought the video was really jarring and scary. All I can think about is how slowly we’re catching on.”

“the video is very one-sided, the host is somewhat annoying, Yes it makes good points, but it’s biased, however I’m sure the other side is just as biased.”

“I think she’s a bit radical. I wish she would come up with solutions. She explains all of these problems, but she doesn’t explain how we could have done it better or how to fix it now.”

“Interesting stuff . . .Makes me wonder about how much stuff I consume w/ out thinking about where it comes from & who it effects.”

“Makes some good points, but she doesn’t say how to fix it. Seems like a female environmental Michael Moore.”

“ . . . At the end of the day we do not force these other countries to give us their resources. They choose to supply America so it is not as bad as the woman is making it seem. . . . Rhetoric is not the answer. She needs to provide examples . . . Instead of making me feel bad for being born an American”

“Wow. That stupid arrow is screwing us over.”

“The video is very insightful. I liked its brutal honesty. It opened my eyes to a lot of problems and better solutions.”

“I found this to be the worst video you’ve shown. . . This seemed more like political propaganda . . . What is her solution?”

“ . . . It’s nice that that somebody is shedding some light on this cycle (because since we have become so used to the way we live we are unaware of what’s happening.) Great choice Dr H!”

“This video is Radical Economic Theory. Radical Economic Theory has led to communism In fact, capitalism is what keeps the U.S. as a super power”

“ . . . We need to be better, think better, and Act Better. Take care of each other by taking care of the problem. Lets do this thing . . . Lets fix the World’s Problems!!!”

“I agree with some of the views and information, but I do not agree with all of it. Although it seems as though the movie tries to show a big picture of where stuff comes from, I think there are some points that are left out and many points are slightly exaggerated. . . .”


“Embarrassment! Continuous fallacy! [sic]

If this is true belief of what this class is about I am ashamed that I ever (illegible) that this class used ACCURATE FACTS!! Fallacy and slander on corporate America being taught in a global change class? Disgusting

I strongly advise this be never shown again before the Dean and School gets involved.

Stick to Fact I want to be educated not brainwashed!!”

GOAL: Enhanced Understanding Of Global Change Science, How It Operates, & What It Means To Me Personally



In the Sustainability Segments

YOU DECIDE !

Our D2L site now has an OPEN DISCUSSION FORUM. You are invited to “post away” about the Story of Stuff and share your opinions and ideas about the film – or the CRITIQUE!

Students Debate Ethics of Job Creation, Environmental Consequences

The seventh annual Eller Ethics Case Competition pits student teams from more than two dozen college and universities on moral issues that businesses face.

By Jeff Harrison, University Communications
October 21, 2009

Teams of business students from more than two dozen colleges and universities around the country are at the University of Arizona for the seventh annual Eller Ethics Case Competition.

The contest, sponsored by the Eller College of Management, runs Oct. 22 through 24 at the Marriott University Park Hotel in Tucson.

The event, which has become the nation's premier ethics competition, exposes students to a thought provoking business ethics case similar to what they might encounter in the course of their professional careers.

The teams will collaborate analyze, present and respond to questions posed by a panel of judges. The experience promises to challenge students' moral reasoning, provide a wonderful networking opportunity, and in the end, raise students' awareness of the importance of corporate social responsibility, said Paul Melendez, the EthicsPoint Distinguished Lecturer in Business Ethics and director of the Eller Ethics Program at the UA.

The case this year's group of students will ponder revolves around a fictional automobile company based in India that has produced the lowest priced vehicle in the world.

Students will be asked to consider, among other things, the advantages the new vehicle has for helping lower socio-economic groups find both mobility and a way out of poverty, and the accompanying costs of millions of new cars consuming petroleum reserves and adding to air pollution and global climate problems.

Each team will be asked to identify stakeholders, financial, legal and philosophical considerations, and offer recommendations on how to proceed. How well they do depends on the depth of their analyses, persuasiveness of their arguments and how well their recommendations address both ethical and practical issues in a business context, and their responses to questions from judges.



Sandy Poiré and Meaghan Annett of Concordia University were the winners in the 2008 Eller Ethics Case Competition

■ et cetera

- > **What** | Eller Ethics Case Competition
- > **When** | Oct. 22-24
- > **Where** | Marriott University Park Hotel, Tucson
- > **Contact Info**
Paul Melendez
Eller College of Management
520-621-3688
pmelendez@eller.arizona.edu













E-mail to a Friend



Print Article

■ share this story

-  Delicious
-  Digg
-  Twitter
-  Facebook
-  Google
-  MySpace
-  Propeller
-  Reddit
-  StumbleUpon
-  Yahoo

<http://uanews.org/node/28117>

. . . And now back to
the SCIENCE!!