

GRADING RUBRIC for the GC SAVVY CONSUMER TERM PROJECT (worth 50 pts)

OBJECTIVE: To construct an informative report and illustrative slide that recommends a consumer choice or product based on data collection and a criteria that you devise and which links to some aspect of Global Change as addressed in NATS 101-GC.

<p>DELIVERABLE #1 (3 pts)</p> <p>1 pt -Select a Product Category to research</p> <p>2 pts - Explain: (1) WHY you selected this product type and (2) DESCRIBE your initial "plan of action" for your in- depth study of this type of product (i.e., state specifically what kind of products you plan to explore (computers, printers, flat screen TVs, toilet paper (!), etc. -- there are many possibilities!)</p> <p>Missed the due date? You can get 1 pt (max) if you email the deliverable to Dr H after the due date but before midnight on Nov 20th. Your email must contain all required items listed above.</p>	<p>DELIVERABLE #2 (5 pts)</p> <p>Write a PARAGRAPH that explains the specific link in your GC Savvy Project to GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE and/or one or more of the NATS 101-GC course topics.</p> <p>Submit your paragraph (in Word .doc or docx, or PDF) in your D2L DROPBOX before midnight (11:50 pm) TUE Nov 17th</p> <p>4-5 pts: correct/logical connection & explained accurately and effectively 2-3 pts: contains an incorrect statement or misconception, or doesn't explain the connection sufficiently 0-1 pt: displays minimal effort, lack of careful thought, and /or lack of a viable connection</p> <p>Missed the due date? An additional 1 pt off for every day submitted late after the due date</p>
<p><u>WRITE-UP (22 pts) including TABLES/ GRAPHS (10 pts)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Required Items</u> <i>2 pages (additional as needed)+ tables at end</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• an introduction• a paragraph describing what you did to investigate and evaluate a product• a paragraph explaining your selection or ranking criteria (i.e., your evaluation factors)• a paragraph on how at least one of your evaluation factors links to GLOBAL CHANGE and/or our class .• your final "The Bottom Line" recommendation with a reasoned explanation of the basis for your conclusions• a reference list showing sources for your information (e.g., webpage URL's, etc.)• TABLES (and optional CHARTS) added at the end <p style="text-align: center;">data & rating table example</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>POWERPOINT SLIDE (10 pts)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Required Items</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• your NAME• a product you are recommending• a clear link to GLOBAL CHANGE• some specifics explaining the link to Global Change (in a phrase or sentence).• information about your rating of the product• your "BOTTOM LINE" statement about the product <p style="text-align: center;">slide example</p>

[CLICK HERE](#) for examples of how to reference different types of sources, including websites.

	Excellent	Satisfactory	Needs improvement
WRITE-UP - CONTENT	<p>10- 15 pts based on the following:</p> <p>Addresses the bulleted items above clearly, thoroughly, accurately, & articulately.</p> <p>Demonstrates a high level of independent critical thinking about the assignment making connections in a creative way; digs deeply into the background information and finds additional supporting material; effectively ties together the background info & the product comparison.</p> <p>Presents product comparison very effectively and makes final product recommendation based on clear evidence displayed in the report; supports this with an effective and thoughtful discussion that refers to the data to draw sound conclusions.</p>	<p>5 - 9 pts based on the following:</p> <p>Addresses the bulleted items above clearly, adequately, and accurately</p> <p>Demonstrates some independent thinking and synthesis; does not just "parrot" phrases from the sources, but shows evidence of thinking and making connections between the background material and the product comparison and recommendations.</p> <p>Presents product comparison and makes final product recommendation based on sufficient evidence displayed in the report; supports this with a discussion that refers to the data to draw appropriate conclusions.</p>	<p>0-4 pts based on the following:</p> <p>Addresses the bulleted items, but not clearly, thoroughly or accurately on every point.</p> <p>Demonstrates some follow-up thinking about the assignment but mostly repeats the bare bones of information found in the sources provided; doesn't go beyond this by digging out new info or thinking on one's own.</p> <p>Presents a product comparison and makes a recommendation, but does not provide a very strong or effective discussion of how the conclusions were drawn.</p>
WRITE-UP - FORMAT	<p>4-5 pts based on whether:</p> <p>Paper has effective sentence and paragraph structure.</p> <p>Content is well-organized and argument flows well from the organization and format of text.</p> <p>Writing is concise; effective and conclusive.</p> <p>Write-up contains only <u>minimal</u> spelling, punctuation, or grammatical errors. (This includes typos, so be sure to spell-check and then to edit.)</p>	<p>2 - 3 pts based on whether:</p> <p>Most sentences are concise and show good word choice and arrangement. Paragraphs are well-organized.</p> <p>Content is sufficiently organized and argument is easily understandable from the organization and format of text. Adequate conclusion/ recommendation is provided.</p> <p>Write-up contains a few spelling, punctuation, or grammar errors, and none that detract from the text's effectiveness & meaning.</p>	<p>0 - 1 pts based on whether:</p> <p>Writing is excessively wordy with many poorly structured sentences and poorly organized paragraphs.</p> <p>Content is poorly organized and argument doesn't hold together in the text's format and organization .</p> <p>Conclusion/recommendation is absent or ineffective.</p> <p>Write-up contains many spelling, punctuation or grammar errors that detract significantly from the text's effectiveness & meaning</p>
	2 pts if sources are well-cited in proper format	1 pt if sources are cited, but not completely, or not in proper format	0-0.5 pt if sources are not cited at all (0 pt) or only minimally and not sufficiently (0.5 pt)
TABLE	<p>9-10 pts based on the following:</p> <p>effective /informative / creative data display in tables of both data and rating information</p> <p>Optional graph(if included) is clear and effective</p> <p>typed/Word/ Excel format</p>	<p>6-8 pts based on the following:</p> <p>satisfactory to adequate data display in tables of both data and rating information</p> <p>Optional graph(if included) is appropriate</p> <p>typed/Word/ Excel format</p>	<p>1-5 pts based on the following:</p> <p>minimal to weak display of data / no data</p> <p>no table for either data or rating information</p> <p>not typed or in easily readable format, table(s) copied and pasted from another source</p>
SLIDE	<p>9 - 10 pts based on the following:</p> <p>Addresses the PPT Slide bulleted items listed above completely, clearly, and innovatively</p> <p>Presents an effective /informative / creative product recommendation</p>	<p>6-8 pts based on the following:</p> <p>Addresses the PPT Slide bulleted items listed above adequately or one item missing</p> <p>product recommendation is presented satisfactorily, but could be more effective</p>	<p>1-5 pts based on the following:</p> <p>Addresses the PPT Slide bulleted items, but not thoroughly or adequately.</p> <p>does not present a clear or effective product recommendation</p>
BONUS	thoughtful/well-prepared post with a detailed narrative (several paragraphs) about the bonus activity; shows evidence of strong effort following specific bonus point directions (3 pts)	satisfactory post indicating a good effort, but not to the level described under "Excellent" (2 pts)	made an attempt to do the bonus activity and make a post, but short, weak or cliché' effort (1pt)