PEER REVIEW CHECKLIST & SUMMARY FORM

- 1. Peer Reviewer completes this form (both sides) and returns it to the Paper's Author with the rough draft.
- 2. Reviewer and Author discuss the review comments and the paper in a respectful exchange so that both learn from the discussion.
- 3. Paper's Author attaches this review form to his or her rough draft and submits both to the GROUP FOLDER on the due date

| PAPER'S AUTHOR : | Group # |
|---|-------------|
| PEER REVIEWER: | Group # |
| ASSIGNMENT CHECKLIST [Check ✓ the elements that are included in Title and Introduction | the paper] |
| Thesis statement (key idea, position, argument or opinion on the Explanation of how the commentary's argument relates to Globa | · |
| A body with several supporting paragraph, each beginning with a Evidence that supports the thesis statement based on items in fi | |
| One example of a footprint and/or handprint in the film that rela A convincing argument that justifies the commentary's view(s) or | |
| A response to alternative viewpoints or arguments as needed Conclusion that re-states the thesis statement and its importance | e |
| Reference List of sources (other than the film), using "In-text ref | |

General Comments and Suggestions on the Checklist Items:

<u>Peer Reviewer:</u> Write a brief summary review paragraph below in which you specifically mention at least 2 things you liked about the draft and at least two suggestions for the writer to consider while revising.

(Please write legibly. You may also type out your paragraph on a separate sheet and attach it)